

FAMILY AND DOMESTIC VIOLENCE OFFENDERS — GPS TRACKING

648. Ms L. METTAM to the Attorney General:

I refer to the government's *Evaluation of the FDV GPS tracking trial—Early insights* report that was tabled last week, more than 12 months after the two-year trial ended last August.

- (1) When was the *Early insights* report drafted?
- (2) How many FDV offenders who were recommended by the Western Australia Police Force for inclusion in the trial were not GPS tracked?

Mr J.R. QUIGLEY replied:

- (1)–(2) I do not know when the *Early insights* report was actually drafted; I cannot give the member that information. I received it very recently. The member asked a question, I think last week, and said that it had been two years and asked what we had. We have an interim insight, but it is not the concluded report, as the member would appreciate.

During the year, there were 2 374 briefs—that is when people are charged—for consideration. All the briefs that involved assaults did not necessarily qualify the offender for a tracker. Of those, 403 were shortlisted for the prosecutors to recommend electronic monitoring. Of those 403, although they were recommended by prosecutors for electronic monitoring, 40 per cent were sent for an immediate term of imprisonment. We can take those out of the 403. One hundred and sixty-one were imprisoned. An additional 41 were given conditional suspended imprisonment, which is another 10 per cent. A further 23 received suspended imprisonment, which is 5.7 per cent. Thirteen were given intensive supervision orders, and that might be 3.2 per cent. There were intensive supervision orders for 13, community based orders for 36, fines for 90, suspended fines for four, and I do not have information on the last 33. That comes to a total of 403, but the final report will provide a further breakdown of that. Forty per cent of them went straight to prison; we have to take that figure out of the total.